

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,355	11/26/2003	Peter N. Slater	8214	
RICHMOND,	7590 10/04/2007 HITCHCOCK		EXAMINER	
FISH & DOLL		•	DOUGLAS, JOHN CHRISTOPHER	
P.O. Box 2443 Bartlesville, OK 74005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
			· [
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,355	SLATER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John C. Douglas	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 July 2007</u> .						
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian raquirament					
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/26/2003.	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-9, in the reply filed on 7/26/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Groups are sufficiently closely related to be allowable in a single application. This is not found persuasive because Applicant did not present evidence or arguments that the process as claimed cannot be used to make another and materially different product and that the product as claimed cannot be made by another and materially different process.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,355

Art Unit: 1764

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 1. Claims 1- rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sughrue (US 6254766).
- 2. With respect to claim 1, Sughrue discloses a desulfurization of cracked gasolines and diesel fuels where the process comprises: (a) contacting the hydrocarbon stream with a composition comprising zinc oxide, silica, alumina and nickel in the reduced valence state, in an amount sufficient to effect the removal of sulfur in the hydrocarbon stream in a desulfurization zone under conditions that there is formed a desulfurized hydrocarbon stream and a sulfurized composition; (b) separating the desulfurized

Application/Control Number: 10/723,355

Art Unit: 1764

hydrocarbon stream from the sulfurized composition; (c) regenerating at least a portion of the separated sulfurized composition in a regeneration zone to remove at least portion of the sulfur contained on the composition; (d) reducing the desulfurized composition in an activation zone to provide a reduced valence nickel content; (e) returning at least a portion of the desulfurized, reduced composition to the desulfurization zone (see Sughrue, column 1, lines 5-13 and claim 1).

- 3. With respect to claim 2, Sughrue discloses where the diesel is a light cycle oil (see Sughrue, column 3, lines 53-57).
- With respect to claims 3-5 and 7, Sughrue discloses where the desulfurization step, the regeneration step, and the reduction step are all carried out at pressures between 15 and 1500 psia and temperatures between 100 and 1000 degrees F (see Sughrue, column 7, lines 29-40, column 8, line 66 column 9, line 16 and lines 28-36).
- 5. With respect to claim 6, Sughrue discloses where air is employed in the regeneration step (see Sughrue, column 9, lines 6-12).
- 6. With respect to claims 8 and 9, Sughrue discloses where the separated sulfurized composition is stripped prior to the regeneration zone and prior to the activation zone (see Sughrue, claims 23 and 24).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Douglas whose telephone number is 571-272-1087. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn A. Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JCD

9/28/2007

GLENN A. CALDAROLA PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1400

-(1700